

1 M. PATRICIA THAYER (SBN 90818)
pthayer@sidley.com
2 AARON R. BLEHARSKI (SBN 240703)
ableharski@sidley.com
3 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 California Street
4 San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 772-1200
5 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

ROBERT A. VAN NEST (SBN 84065)
rvannest@kvn.com
ASHOK RAMANI (SBN 200020)
aramani@kvn.com
NIKKI K. VO (SBN 239543)
nvo@kvn.com
SARA B. FAULKNER (SBN 263857)
sfaulkner@kvn.com
KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
710 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

6 SANDRA S. FUJIYAMA (SBN 198125)
sfujiyama@sidley.com
7 SAMUEL N. TIU (SBN 216291)
stiu@sidley.com
8 TASHICA T. WILLIAMS (SBN 256449)
ttwilliams@sidley.com
9 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
10 Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 896-6000
11 Facsimile: (213) 896-6600

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
GENENTECH, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

1 WHEREAS on January 11, 2011, plaintiff Genentech, Inc. (“Genentech”) and defendant the
2 Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania (“the University”) filed a Fourth Joint Stipulation and
3 Proposed Order to Extend the Deadline for Compliance with a portion of the Court’s November 22
4 Order (Dkt. No. 79) from January 11, 2011 to February 1, 2011; and

5 WHEREAS the parties wanted the deadline extension to discuss whether there is a way to
6 limit Genentech’s production of regulatory materials, other than the BLA Submissions (as that term
7 is used in the Court’s November 22 Order), to avoid the production of irrelevant materials; and

8 WHEREAS, the parties are continuing to address the proper scope for production of the
9 regulatory materials and believe that an additional extension would benefit their discussion; and

10 WHEREAS, the parties are continuing to arrange an appropriate manner in which to proceed
11 with the production; and

12 WHEREAS, if the parties are unable to reach agreement, Genentech reserves the right to
13 move for reconsideration of the Court’s Order;

14 THE PARTIES THEREFORE AGREE as follows:

15 1. The deadline for Genentech to comply with the following sentence in the Court’s
16 November 22, 2010 Order, now set for February 1, 2011, is extended an additional
17 two weeks to February 15, 2011:

18 “In addition, to the extent there is responsive electronic data other than
19 the BLA Submissions in Defendant’s possession, custody or control
20 that is responsive to Document Request No. 36, Plaintiff shall either
produce the unredacted data to Defendant by November 30, 2010, or
else file a declaration by that date showing why it cannot do so and
setting forth the earliest possible date that it will be able to do so.”

21 2. The deadline for Genentech to file a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court’s Order,
22 now set for February 1, 2011, is extended an additional two weeks to February 15,
23 2011.

24 3. No other provision of the Court’s November 22, 2010 Order is changed.

1 SO STIPULATED:

2
3 Respectfully submitted,

4 Dated: February 1, 2011

5
6
7 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

8 By: _____/s/
9 M. PATRICIA THAYER

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff GENENTECH, INC.

11 Dated: February 1, 2011

12 IRELL & MANELLA LLP

13 By: _____/s/
14 GARY N. FRISCHLING¹

15 Attorneys for Defendants THE TRUSTEES OF
16 THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
¹ Pursuant to General Order 45(X), the filer of this document hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of the
document has been obtained from Gary N. Frischling.

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The deadline for Genentech to comply with the following sentence in the Court's
3 November 22, 2010 Order, now set for February 1, 2011, is extended an additional
4 two weeks to February 15, 2011:

5 "In addition, to the extent there is responsive electronic data other than
6 the BLA Submissions in Defendant's possession, custody or control
7 that is responsive to Document Request No. 36, Plaintiff shall either
8 produce the unredacted data to Defendant by November 30, 2010, or
9 else file a declaration by that date showing why it cannot do so and
10 setting forth the earliest possible date that it will be able to do so."

11 2. The deadline for Genentech to file a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Order,
12 now set for February 1, is extended an additional two weeks to February 15, 2011.
13 3. No other provision of the Court's November 22, 2010 Order is changed.

14 SO ORDERED.
15

16 Dated: February 2, 2011


17 PAUL S GREWAL
18 United States Magistrate Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28